

some persons are less impaired or discomforted by withdrawal symptoms.

Prospective Data from Laboratory and Nonlaboratory Studies

Cigarette smokers have been studied both in laboratory and nonlaboratory settings using a variety of self- and observer-administered tests measuring subjective, behavioral, and physiological signs and symptoms that accompany tobacco deprivation. The studies have examined changes in functioning resulting after periods of tobacco deprivation ranging from 1 hr to 21 days. Most studies have obtained both baseline and deprivation measures; a few studies have incorporated a control group of continuing smokers or nonsmokers; and a few have obtained data after smokers resumed smoking or were given nicotine polacrilex gum. The studies included ones which were conducted while the subjects were residing on a research ward, were living in their usual environment, or were paying occasional visits to a clinic for smoking cessation treatment. The symptoms reported in these studies were similar to those obtained from the retrospective studies, demonstrating generality across method and setting. These symptoms included the following: "craving" for tobacco (Gritz and Jarvik 1973; Hatsukami et al. 1984; Gilbert and Pope 1982; Shiffman and Jarvik 1976; Cummings et al. 1985; Hughes and Hatsukami 1986), irritability or anger (Myrsten, Elgerot, Edgren 1977; Elgerot 1978; Weybrew and Stark 1967; Hughes and Hatsukami 1986), anxiety and tension (Myrsten, Elgerot, Edgren 1977; Hughes and Hatsukami 1986), restlessness (Hughes and Hatsukami 1986), impatience (Hughes and Hatsukami 1986), depression (Hatsukami et al. 1984), problems with concentration (Hatsukami et al. 1984; Weybrew and Stark 1967; Myrsten, Elgerot, Edgren 1977; Frankenhaeuser et al. 1971; Hughes and Hatsukami 1986), drowsiness or fatigue (Weybrew and Stark 1967), sleep disturbances (Hatsukami et al. 1984; Larson, Haag, Silvette 1961; Weybrew and Stark 1967; Myrsten, Elgerot, Edgren 1977; Hughes and Hatsukami 1986), and increased hunger or appetite (Myrsten, Elgerot, Edgren 1977; Hughes and Hatsukami 1986).

In one study (Hughes and Hatsukami 1986), each subject had a spouse, relative, or friend rate some of the symptoms of withdrawal to verify self-report. These observer ratings of irritability, anxiety, restlessness, drowsiness, fatigue, impatience, and somatic complaints were all significantly related to their respective subject's ratings, thus adding to the validity of reports of these symptoms. These researchers found that the most common self-report symptoms were increased irritability (80 percent), anxiety (87 percent), difficulty concentrating (73 percent), restlessness (71 percent), impatience (76 percent), insomnia (84 percent), and craving for tobacco (62 percent).

Seventy-eight percent of the subjects reported four or more DSM-III criteria. This degree of prevalence was higher than that found in a retrospective study conducted by Hughes, Gust, and Pechacek (1987), possibly reflecting differences in the measuring instruments or the populations themselves.

The physiological changes which have been found to occur after cigarette deprivation include decreased heart rate (Knapp, Bliss, Wells 1963; Murphee and Schultz 1968; Parsons, Avery et al. 1975; Benowitz, Kuyt, Jacob 1984; Hatsukami et al. 1984; Weybrew and Stark 1967; Gilbert and Pope 1982; Hughes and Hatsukami 1986; West and Russell 1987; Elgerot 1978; West, Jarvis et al. 1984; Henningfield 1987a) and decreased cortical arousal as evidenced by decreases in peak alpha frequency and increases in low frequency activity which appear to be associated with drowsiness and decreased vigilance (Knott and Venables 1977, 1979; Ulett and Itil 1969; Herning, Jones, Bachman 1983; Herning 1987). Knott and Venables (1978) have also found that the visual evoked response in tobacco-deprived smokers showed faster latencies and larger amplitudes for low-stimulus intensities than among nondeprived smokers and nonsmokers. They concluded that deprived smokers experience CNS hypersensitivity and, as a result, may experience visual stimulus input more easily and strongly. Hall and colleagues (1973) reported reduced auditory evoked response (AER) amplitudes during tobacco withdrawal. Blood pressure (Benowitz, Kuyt, Jacob 1984; Murphee and Schultz 1968; Knapp, Bliss, Wells 1963) and respiratory rate (Parsons et al. 1976) have also been found to decrease during abstinence. Studies have also reported an increase in skin temperature among tobacco-deprived smokers (Gilbert and Pope 1982; Myrsten, Elgerot, Edgren 1977) or no change (West and Russell 1987), and either a decrease (Fagerström 1978) or no significant change (Hatsukami et al. 1984) in body temperature among those who are classified as more dependent. Although some studies have reported insomnia and sleep disturbance following tobacco deprivation, tobacco-deprived smokers' total sleep time may be longer during withdrawal (Soldatos et al. 1980). Reported changes in sleep pattern include decreased latency to rapid-eye-movement (REM) sleep (Kales et al. 1970), decreased latency to light (delta electroencephalogram (EEG) wave) sleep onset (Parsons, Luttrell et al. 1975; Parsons and Hamme 1976), and increased total REM sleep time (Soldatos et al. 1980; Kales et al. 1970; Parsons, Avery et al. 1975).

Another physical change found among tobacco-deprived smokers is an increase in weight (Grunberg 1986; see also Chapter VI). Weight increase has also been found among those who quit smoking in a number of longitudinal survey studies (Bosse, Garvey, Costa 1980). This increase in weight has been attributed to increased caloric intake (Hatsukami et al. 1984; Grunberg 1982; Myrsten, Elgerot,

Edgren 1977; Burse et al. 1975; Gilbert and Pope 1982; Wack and Rodin 1982), decreased basal metabolism (Glauser et al. 1970; Wack and Rodin 1982), decreased energy expenditure (Hofstetter et al. 1986), or increased activity of lipoprotein lipase (Carney and Goldberg 1984) (see also Chapter VI).

Several studies have examined the effects of cigarette deprivation and administration on reaction time and psychomotor performance. These are reviewed in detail in Chapter VI and are only briefly summarized here. Two early studies each found considerable across-subject variability, with some subjects showing distinct deprivation-induced performance impairments which were reversed by tobacco administration, and other subjects showing impairments under the tobacco administration conditions (Bates 1922; Carver 1922). Since the studies by Bates and Carver, investigators have developed increasingly sophisticated methods of performance assessment which have led to a clearer understanding of the performance-related effects of nicotine administration and deprivation (see details in Chapter VI). For example, Heimstra, Bancroft, and DeKock (1967) used a simulated driving task and found that deprived smokers made significantly more errors on tracking and vigilance tasks than did nondeprived smokers or nonsmokers, who did not significantly differ from each other. Other research has demonstrated that smokers who were allowed to smoke cigarettes during the experimental session exhibited either no decrease or an improvement in speed and accuracy in reaction time, cognitive tests, and/or vigilance performance tasks, whereas deprived smokers most frequently show some impairment in performance tasks (Myrsten et al. 1972; Frankenhaeuser et al. 1971; Elgerot 1978; Kleinman, Vaughn, Christ 1973; Andersson 1975; Wesnes and Warburton 1984; Edwards et al. 1985; Snyder and Henningfield, in press; Henningfield 1986a, 1987a).

A recent study using a computerized battery of such tasks found clear impairments beginning within 8 hr of the last cigarette and improving only somewhat across 10 consecutive days of tobacco deprivation; resumption of smoking was accompanied by complete restoration of performance (Henningfield 1987a). The specificity of these performance effects of nicotine was confirmed by the findings that administration of nicotine in the polacrilex gum form produced a dose-related reversal of all performance impairments (Snyder and Henningfield, in press; Henningfield 1987a); this effect was not related to satisfaction or reduction of "craving" because the gum produced dose-related decreases in "liking" scores and produced no reliable decrease in "desire to smoke" (Henningfield 1987a).

Other changes occurring in tobacco-deprived cigarette smokers include increases in aggression scores on the Buss aggression machine (Schechter and Rand 1974) and increases in frequency of spontaneous jaw contractions (a putative analog of aggression)

(Hutchinson and Emley 1973). Analogously, monkeys withdrawn from chronic oral nicotine exposure (nicotine was placed in their drinking water) exhibited an increase in frequency of post-shock biting (Hutchinson and Emley 1973).

The magnitude of tobacco withdrawal is related to the environmental context (see Chapter V for a comparison to other dependence-producing drugs). For example, Hatsukami, Hughes, and Pickens (1985) reported that smokers who were deprived of cigarettes on an outpatient basis experienced more withdrawal symptoms than those who underwent withdrawal on a clinical research ward. These findings are consistent with those of Suedfeld and Ikard (1974), who found that deprivation of normal sensory stimulation reduced tobacco abstinence-associated discomfort. It has also been observed that the diurnal variation of withdrawal discomfort found among abstinent smokers (greater discomfort in the evenings) appears to be associated with diurnal variation in the social environment (e.g., meals, departure from work, or social contact) (Shiffman 1979).

Time Course of Responses to Nicotine Abstinence

Drug withdrawal syndromes generally include some signs and symptoms which are opposite those produced by administration of the drug and which then return to approximately the same values observed when drug intake was stable (rebound phenomena). The time course of different responses varies (Chapter V). The most recent studies show that several signs and symptoms of withdrawal appear to rebound within the first few days following cigarette abstinence; these signs and symptoms include increases in the urge to use tobacco, anxiety, problems with concentration, increased caloric intake, sleep disturbance, performance impairment, and general subjective distress (Hatsukami et al. 1984; Hughes and Hatsukami 1986; Schneider and Jarvik 1984; Cummings et al. 1985; Henningfield 1987a). Heart rate has been found to decrease to levels found among nonsmokers (Weybrew and Stark 1967) and may include some rebound, returning to stable levels between those maintained during normal cigarette smoking and those recorded during the first week of abstinence (Henningfield 1987a). The P300 response, a cognitive evoked potential component which is related to the ability to evaluate auditory stimuli (i.e., differentiate one sound from another by counting only certain sounds), showed a rebound (decrease in amplitude), with values returning to preabstinence (cigarette smoking) levels after about 3 to 5 days (Herning 1987). West, Russel, Jarvis, Pizzey, and Kadam (1984) reported that urinary epinephrine concentrations rebounded with a significant decrease during the first 3 days of abstinence followed by a significant increase. Finally, in the squirrel monkey study of nicotine abstinence-associated biting, Hutchinson and Emley (1973) found a

distinct rebound pattern in some subjects with biting levels sharply increasing and then returning to the levels observed during chronic oral nicotine administration.

Other signs and symptoms associated with tobacco abstinence do not return to levels observed during cigarette smoking. For example, weight gain has persisted for long periods of time (Blitzer, Rimm, Giefer 1977) and has also been reported to approach levels of nonsmokers (Khosla and Lowe 1971; Lincoln 1969; Chapter VI). In addition, some levels of performance impairment and associated reduction of a cognitive evoked cortical potential (N100), which is related to attention, persist at least 10 days and may last longer (Henningfield 1987a; Herning 1987).

As the preceding studies suggest, the duration of withdrawal reactions varies among studies and as a function of the measure (Shiffman 1979; West 1984). Urges to smoke cigarettes among ex-smokers have been reported to occur intermittently, although sometimes with great intensity, for up to 9 years after cessation of cigarette smoking. These reported symptoms may represent conditioned responses to environmental stimuli associated with either cigarette smoking or deprivation, may represent a protracted physiological phase of withdrawal, or both (e.g., Wikler 1965; Jasinski 1981; Chapter V).

Alleviation of Withdrawal Symptoms by Cigarette Smoking

Several studies have demonstrated that the signs and symptoms resulting from cigarette deprivation are alleviated by the resumption of cigarette smoking. These signs and symptoms include heart rate (Murphee and Schultz 1968; Weybrew and Stark 1967; Henningfield 1987a), blood pressure (Murphee and Schultz 1968), skin temperature (Myrsten, Elgerot, Edgren 1977), epinephrine and norepinephrine levels (Myrsten, Elgerot, Edgren 1977), EEG changes (Ulett and Itil 1969; Herning 1987), weight (Noppa and Bengtsson 1986), desire for food (Burse et al. 1975), hand tremor (Myrsten, Elgerot, Edgren 1977), desire to smoke (Gritz and Jarvik 1973), and fatigue, irritation, sleeplessness, problems with alertness and concentration (Weybrew and Stark 1967), and performance (Henningfield 1987a).

Hughes, Hatsukami, Pickens, and Svikis (1984) examined the consistency of tobacco withdrawal signs and symptoms using an experimental design in which periods of cigarette smoking and abstinence were alternated in the same subjects. This study demonstrated both the consistency of the withdrawal symptomology within subjects as well as the efficacy of resumed smoking in reversing it. The most consistent withdrawal effects across subjects were supine heart rate changes, insomnia, caloric intake, irritability, restlessness, drowsiness, general mood disturbance (measured by the

Profile of Mood States), and withdrawal discomfort. Furthermore, the intensities of the withdrawal discomfort of subjects during the two deprivation periods were similar. Similarly, a study at the Addiction Research Center (Baltimore, Maryland) showed that resumption of cigarette smoking after 10 days of tobacco abstinence was accompanied by a return to preabstinence levels of all measures including EEG, evoked cortical electrical potentials, heart rate, behavioral performance, and measures of mood (Henningfield 1987a; Herning 1987).

Relationship Between Preabstinence Nicotine Intake and Magnitude of Withdrawal Syndrome

The observation that the magnitude of tobacco withdrawal reactions is directly related to preabstinence levels of nicotine intake provides specific evidence that nicotine is the pharmacologic cause of the physical dependence. The clinical significance of these relationships is that both the magnitude of the tobacco withdrawal syndrome and difficulty in quitting smoking are directly related to the daily levels of nicotine that were being ingested. The relationship has not always been observed, however, when only crude indices of nicotine dosing were used. For example, correlations between number of cigarettes smoked per day (a poor marker of nicotine intake) (Benowitz 1983; Abrams et al. 1987; Chapter II) and withdrawal reaction severity are mixed across studies. Some investigators have observed a positive correlation between the number of cigarettes smoked per day and withdrawal severity (Wynder, Kaufman, Lesser 1967; Shiffman 1979; Burns 1969; Hall, Ginsburg, Jones 1986). Others have reported no differences in severity of craving or other measures of withdrawal between light and heavy smokers or as a function of number of cigarettes smoked (Gritz and Jarvik 1973; Shiffman and Jarvik 1976; Myrsten, Elgerot, Edgren 1977; Mausner 1970). Cummings and coworkers (1985) reported that although heavy smokers reported more withdrawal symptoms than light smokers, differences between heavy and light smokers were statistically significant only with respect to irritability.

The most reliable measure of day-to-day nicotine exposure appears to be cotinine in biological specimens or nicotine itself (Benowitz 1983; Chapter II). Recent studies using such measures have found significant relationships between either nicotine or cotinine levels and severity of withdrawal reactions. Pomerleau, Fertig, and Shanh (1983) divided subjects by their baseline plasma cotinine levels (high or low quartiles). They found that subjects in the low-cotinine quartile exhibited less withdrawal change on the Shiffman Craving and Perception of Physical Signs subscales compared with subjects in the high-cotinine quartile. They also found a significant correlation between preabstinence baseline plasma cotinine levels and absti-

nence-associated craving for cigarettes. Hatsukami, Hughes, and Pickens (1985) established a similar significant correlation between craving for tobacco and plasma nicotine level, as well as nicotine boost. Zeidenberg and associates (1977) found that preabstinence serum cotinine was correlated significantly with the degree of difficulty in smoking cessation among males but not females. Finally, West and Russell (1985b) determined that whereas preabstinence plasma nicotine levels significantly predicted craving, hunger, restlessness, inability to concentrate, and overall withdrawal severity, preabstinence rates of daily cigarette consumption did not significantly predict any withdrawal effects.

Smokeless Tobacco Withdrawal Syndrome

A study of withdrawal reactions accompanying abstinence from smokeless tobacco products helped to determine that the syndrome did not require inhalation of smoke and its constituents, which are not present in smokeless tobacco (e.g., tar and CO.). This study showed that signs and symptoms of smokeless tobacco deprivation are similar to those occurring in smokers after cigarette deprivation (Hatsukami, Gust, Keenan 1987). In persons who had been using a high nicotine containing brand of chewing tobacco, Hatsukami, Gust, and Keenan (1987) measured a number of potential withdrawal signs and symptoms over a 6-day period. Baseline data were collected during 3 days of regular smokeless tobacco use. The significant changes which occurred during smokeless tobacco deprivation relative to the baseline included decreased heart rate and an increase in craving for tobacco, confusion, eating, number of awakenings, and total scores on a withdrawal symptom checklist for both self-rated and observer-rated measures. These changes were similar to those found among cigarette smokers who underwent a similar experimental protocol, although the smokeless tobacco withdrawal syndrome appeared to be less severe than the cigarette withdrawal syndrome (Hatsukami, Gust, Keenan 1987).

Nicotine Polacrilex Gum: Treatment and Physical Dependence

Nicotine polacrilex gum has been used to evaluate the specific role of nicotine in tobacco dependence. Experimental research and clinical observations of the ability of nicotine in the polacrilex gum form to alleviate tobacco withdrawal symptomatology provide conclusive evidence that the tobacco withdrawal syndrome is pharmacologically determined by physical dependence on nicotine. To the extent that the tobacco withdrawal phenomena described above are specific to nicotine and not characteristic of the delivery system (e.g., cigarette smoke), alternate forms of nicotine delivery should be able to sustain the physical dependence. This would be evidenced by (1)

blockade of signs and symptoms of withdrawal by nicotine delivery and (2) subsequent emergence of a tobacco withdrawal-like syndrome upon abrupt abstinence from nontobacco-delivered nicotine.

Treatment of Withdrawal Symptoms

Clinical trials and experimental studies in which nicotine polacrilex gum is evaluated as a means to alleviate signs and symptoms of tobacco withdrawal are of relevance to the treatment of tobacco dependence (Chapter VII). In addition, however, such data are analogous to data from the classic "substitution" study methodology used to help determine the pharmacologic specificity of withdrawal reactions following use of opioids, sedatives, and alcohol (described in Chapter V). In brief, however, the objective is to determine if the withdrawal reaction from the primary substance upon which the person is dependent can be alleviated by administration of a test drug.

Several studies have examined the effects of nicotine polacrilex gum on tobacco withdrawal (Jarvis et al. 1982; Schneider, Jarvik, Forsythe 1984; West, Jarvis et al. 1984; Hughes, Hatsukami, Pickens, Krahn et al. 1984; Snyder and Henningfield, in press; Henningfield 1987a). These studies have examined two groups of cigarette smokers who were assigned in a double-blind fashion (with the exception of West, Jarvis, and colleagues (1984), who used a single-blind design) to receive 2-mg polacrilex gum or placebo. The duration of cigarette deprivation during which the polacrilex gum (or placebo) was used varied from 24 hr to 6 weeks. In general, the results consistently showed an attenuation of withdrawal signs and symptoms. For example, nicotine polacrilex gum significantly reduced irritability (Jarvis et al. 1982; Hughes, Hatsukami, Pickens, Krahn et al. 1984; West, Jarvis et al. 1984), total withdrawal discomfort (Schneider, Jarvik, Forsythe 1984; Hughes, Hatsukami, Pickens, Krahn et al. 1984), somatic complaints (Hughes, Hatsukami, Pickens, Krahn et al. 1984), sleepiness (Jarvis et al. 1982), unsociability (West, Jarvis et al. 1984), cognitive performance deficits (Snyder and Henningfield, in press; Henningfield 1987a), heart rate decreases (Schneider, Jarvik, Forsythe 1984; West, Jarvis et al. 1984; Henningfield 1987a), and EEG effects including changes in cortical evoked potentials (Herning 1987; Pickworth, Herning, Henningfield, 1988).

Other measures were less reliably alleviated; these included depression (Jarvis et al. 1982; West, Jarvis et al. 1984), anxiety/tension (Jarvis et al. 1982; Hughes, Hatsukami, Pickens, Krahn et al. 1984), difficulty concentrating (Hughes, Hatsukami, Pickens, Krahn et al. 1984; West, Jarvis et al. 1984), and restlessness (Hughes, Hatsukami, Pickens, Krahn et al. 1984; West, Jarvis et al. 1984). The urge to smoke cigarettes has not been found to be reliably alleviated

by nicotine polacrilex gum administration (West and Schneider 1987; West 1984; Henningfield 1987a; Hughes, Hatsukami, Pickens, Svikis 1984) except possibly at high dose levels (Nemeth-Coslett, Henningfield, O'Keefe, Griffiths 1987). Interpretation of such data is complicated by the diverse strategies used to measure the urge to smoke or "craving" as discussed further in this Section.

Of these studies, two showed nonsignificant effects of nicotine polacrilex gum on hunger (Hughes, Hatsukami, Pickens, Krahn et al. 1984; West, Jarvis et al. 1984) and one showed significant effects in decreasing hunger (Jarvis et al. 1982). More recent research shows that the anorectic effect of nicotine polacrilex gum during tobacco abstinence is directly related to the dose level (i.e., number of doses taken per day) (Stitzer and Gross 1988; Fagerström 1987; Chapter VI). The dose-response relationship may explain the diversity in results when studies are compared; in some of these studies, dosing was either poorly controlled or not reported, or there was no verification of subject compliance with a dose regimen.

As would be expected, depending on the dose administered, the efficacy of nicotine polacrilex gum for most measures of withdrawal symptomology ranges from complete reversal of withdrawal to no effect. In a study in which periods of tobacco abstinence (3 days) were alternated with periods of cigarette smoking (4 days), subjects were given either 0-, 2-, or 4-mg-nicotine-containing pieces of the polacrilex gum (Henningfield 1987a). The subjects were given the polacrilex gum at 1-hr intervals (for 12 hr), and they chewed under the direction of research staff. Blood nicotine and cotinine levels confirmed that this procedure resulted in dose-related nicotine administration; plasma cotinine and nicotine levels at 4 mg were similar to those obtained during cigarette smoking (ad libitum smoking); plasma levels at 2 mg were between those at 4 and 0 mg. Measures included cognitive performance, heart rate, EEG, and self-reported symptomology. At 4 mg, all signs and symptoms of withdrawal were reduced or completely reversed except the desire to smoke. The 2-mg dose produced partial reversal of withdrawal effects.

Maintenance of Physical Dependence

Two studies have examined withdrawal effects after deprivation of nicotine polacrilex gum. West and Russell (1985a) conducted a study in which they examined withdrawal symptoms in six people who used nicotine polacrilex gum for at least 1 year. Baseline measures of possible withdrawal effects were collected during days that the subjects were chewing 2-mg pieces of nicotine polacrilex gum. These days were the first and third days of a 4-day experiment. On the second and fourth days, subjects were given either 0.5 mg unbuffered polacrilex gum (nicotine absorption is negligible in the unbuffered

formulation) to chew or no polacrilex gum. West and Russell (1985a) found significant changes for measures of withdrawal symptomology including irritability, ability to concentrate, and heart rate and for composite subjective withdrawal scores. Withdrawal reaction magnitude was slightly, but not significantly, less in the unbuffered gum than in the no gum condition.

Hughes, Hatsukami, and Skoog (1986) extended the findings of West and Russell (1985a) with a longer period of observation (1 week) and a double-blind, placebo-controlled design. In the study by Hughes, Hatsukami, and Skoog (1986), eight former smokers who had been using nicotine polacrilex gum for at least 1 month participated. The main finding was that when the maintenance dose levels (2-mg polacrilex gum) were replaced with placebo, reliable symptoms of withdrawal were produced. The effects included "craving" for tobacco, irritability/hostility, anxiety, depression, restlessness, impatience, difficulty concentrating, hunger, and total withdrawal discomfort; reports from observers verified several of the effects (i.e., observer estimates of irritability, anxiety, restlessness, impatience, and total withdrawal discomfort). The scales used to measure withdrawal discomfort in the study by Hughes and colleagues were similar to those used in a previous study of cigarette withdrawal conducted by the same investigators (Hughes and Hatsukami 1986), thus enabling an across-study comparison between abstinence from cigarettes and abstinence from nicotine in the polacrilex gum form. Intensities and numbers of withdrawal symptoms, except heart rate and insomnia, were similar.

Taken together, the results of the above-described studies with nicotine polacrilex gum have helped to confirm that tobacco withdrawal is pharmacologically caused by physical dependence on nicotine. Furthermore, the results of such work are of clinical significance because they indicate that much of tobacco withdrawal symptomology can be treated with nicotine polacrilex gum. Two studies show that nicotine polacrilex gum can maintain physical dependence; this emphasizes the importance of *gradually* giving up use of the gum to minimize the abruptness and severity of withdrawal symptoms (see Chapter VII).

Tobacco Craving

The measurement of self-reported craving for tobacco and interpretation of resulting data are among the more complicated issues in tobacco research. Findings discussed in this Chapter that nicotine polacrilex gum administration can suppress cigarette smoking and alleviate physical signs of tobacco withdrawal while having little effect on the urge to smoke indicate that such urges are not solely determined by nicotine deprivation. Similar observations regarding urges to use other dependence-producing drugs are discussed in

Chapter V (see also Childress et al., in press). The elicitation and alleviation of the urge to use tobacco, as for other dependence-producing substances, can be effected by a variety of pharmacologic and other environmental stimuli as well as changes in the physiological and/or behavioral state of the person (Chapter V).

Conclusions regarding the measurement and treatment of urges to use drugs are complicated because the questions about urges have been worded differently among studies. For example, subjects are sometimes asked to report their "craving." Unfortunately, subjects vary widely in their interpretations of the word "craving" and in their answers to questions about it (Kozlowski and Wilkinson 1987; Ludwig and Stark 1974). In addition, results concerning "craving" are sometimes discussed when the word was not even used in study questionnaires, and sometimes craving was inferred from other observations (e.g., self-reported discomfort or drug abstinence) (Kozlowski and Wilkinson 1987). These and other problematic issues have been discussed in several recent papers (Kozlowski and Wilkinson 1987; Shiffman 1987; West 1987; Hughes 1987; Marlatt 1987; Stockwell 1987; Henningfield 1987b; Henningfield and Brown 1987; West and Schneider 1987). One consensus that seems to emerge is that the term "craving" be replaced with "urge" or "desire" to smoke, and that subjects be asked to report the "strength" of such responses and not simply whether or not the response occurred (Kozlowski and Wilkinson 1987; Henningfield 1987b).

In consideration of the above reports and commentaries and the data reviewed in the present Chapter, the following conclusions may be drawn regarding the urge to smoke. Many means of measuring urges are reliably associated with early abstinence from tobacco; however, urges can also be elicited by a variety of other stimuli including cigarette smoking itself, tobacco-associated stimuli (e.g., sight, smell, advertisements), consumption of other psychoactive drugs, food deprivation, and mood changes. Furthermore, although urges are reliably associated with tobacco abstinence, the levels to which plasma nicotine must fall to produce it are unclear; for example, West, Russell, Jarvis, and Feyerabend (1984) found that smokers who switched to a low-nicotine cigarette reported only slight craving for their usual brand in spite of a drop in nicotine intake of around 60 percent. In addition, as discussed earlier, some sensory stimuli are effective at eliciting urges, whereas other sensory cues accompanying the inhalation of cigarette smoke may be effective at diminishing such urges (Rose et al. 1985). Chapter V provides a discussion of these issues in the context of analogous observations which have been made with other dependence-producing drugs and Chapter VII discusses the implications for replacement therapy used in treating tobacco dependence.

Alternate Nicotine Delivery Systems

Certain effects of nicotine depend little upon the specific type of delivery system that is used (see also Chapters, II, III, and VI). For instance, it appears likely that all forms of nicotine delivery resulting in systemic absorption are capable of producing tolerance and maintaining physical dependence (see also Chapter II). Similarly, it follows that a variety of nicotine delivery systems have potential utility in the treatment of cigarette smoking by the alleviation of withdrawal symptoms. However, the safety, including the potential to produce dependence, may vary considerably as a function of characteristics of the nicotine delivery system itself.

Kinds of Nicotine Delivery Systems

Because nicotine is well absorbed through the common routes of drug delivery and because the commonly used tobacco vehicle is not necessary to efficaciously deliver nicotine, nicotine can potentially be placed in a variety of vehicles and administered via a variety of delivery systems (Chapter II; Benowitz 1986; Jarvik and Henningfield, 1988). The nicotine delivery systems thus far discussed in this Chapter are tobacco smoke, nicotine polacrilex gum, i.v. nicotine, transdermal nicotine, and a nicotine vapor inhaler. Other potential therapeutic nicotine delivering systems under development include a nasal spray (Perkins et al. 1986) and nasal nicotine solutions given in droplet form (Russell, Jarvis, Feyerabend, Ferno 1983), both of which have been discussed by Russell (1988). Two other nicotine delivery systems are a chewable food product (Tobacco International 1987) and a "toothpaste" formulation which contains ground tobacco. Other nicotine delivering systems (in which the tobacco may be incidental and not necessary for nicotine delivery) are under development or consideration for over-the-counter retail marketing (R.J. Reynolds "Smokeless Cigarette" European Patent Application 1985, 1986; Cleghorn 1987; Mintz 1987).

As noted earlier, the nicotine vapor inhaler was removed from the retail market in February of 1987 by the FDA because it was a "nicotine delivery system intended to satisfy nicotine dependence" which had not been tested for safety and efficacy (Slade and Connolly 1987). At least through the end of 1987, the toothpaste-like formulation was available as an over-the-counter product but was under review by the FDA (FDA letter to Congressman Waxman); this formulation is distributed in Indian food stores. The chewable nicotine delivering product marketed by Pinkerton Inc. was test-marketed as a "tobacco product" for approximately 6 months during 1987. The FDA removed it from the market ruling that it was a "food product" ["chewing gum"] which was "unlike traditional smokeless tobacco products," and contained a "food additive [tobacco] deemed

unsafe" for human consumption (FDA letter to Congressman Waxman).

Safety of Alternate Nicotine Delivery Systems

Alternate nicotine delivery systems may be evaluated with respect to at least three categories of safety issues. These are: (1) short- and long-term toxic effects resulting from use of the system; (2) the ease and convenience of using the system; and (3) the dependence potential of the system. All of these factors can affect initiation and maintenance of nicotine dependence.

The first safety issue is related to the direct behavioral and physiological toxicity of the preparation itself. In the moderate nicotine doses that each of these and previously marketed systems deliver, acute nicotine toxicity would not appear to be a significant health risk. However, adverse health effects from chronic exposure to nicotine may occur (see Appendix B), and other potentially absorbed constituents of the system (e.g., tar) are markedly toxic.

Existing nicotine delivery systems vary widely in their potential overall toxicity. One product was found to meet FDA criteria for safety as well as efficacy (i.e., nicotine polacrilex gum). On the other hand, cigarette smoking is a cause of lung cancer and other cancers, emphysema, heart disease, and a variety of other diseases; smokeless tobacco use causes oral cancer and other forms of gum and mouth disease (US DHEW 1979; US DHHS 1982, 1983, 1984; US DHHS 1986b).

Traditional tobacco products have historically been considered by the FDA to be outside its regulatory purview (Action on Smoking and Health vs. Harris 1980). New products, which contain either small amounts of tobacco (e.g., tobacco-containing food products) or which appear to contain possibly nonessential amounts of tobacco (e.g., possibly the case with the R.J. Reynolds smokeless cigarette (European Patent Application 1985, 1986)) and which are not regarded as traditional tobacco products, may not be exempt from such review.

The second safety issue is the potential for the product to actually sustain tobacco use by alternating use of the substitute with use of the traditional tobacco product. This is analogous to the nonmedically approved use of methadone by opioid-dependent individuals when their drug of choice (e.g., heroin) is not available, and they are not involved in treatment for opioid dependence. The use of non-tobacco nicotine products to sustain tobacco use is, similarly, medically contraindicated and hence a form of nicotine abuse (Slade 1986; Richards 1987). While any alternative nicotine delivery system can theoretically be used for this purpose, two commercial products (the chewable nicotine-delivering "food" product and the nicotine vapor inhaler) were marketed specifically as temporary substitutes for

cigarettes when it was inconvenient to smoke (Bosy 1986; Tobacco International 1987). In contrast, the instructions for use of nicotine polacrilex gum clearly specify that this preparation should not be used along with cigarettes (Physicians' Desk Reference 1988). In addition to product design and formulation, factors such as labeling, packaging, marketing, retail distribution, and regulatory oversight might influence the degree to which any particular preparation is associated with an individual's continued use of the nicotine delivery system.

The third potential safety concern is related to the dependence potential of the system. As shown in Chapter V, the potential of a drug to addict users is associated with its effects on mood, feeling, and behavior; such effects are related to the bioavailability of the drug. Systems with a controlled rate of bioavailability or a lesser rate of absorption than is obtained from conventional tobacco products may have a lesser dependence potential than tobacco products. Other factors related to availability of the preparation and cost (both economic and behavioral) may also affect the likelihood that dependence will develop in users. For example, nicotine polacrilex gum is available by prescription only, and use of the gum is recommended as a temporary treatment aid. Active chewing is required to extract the nicotine, and swallowing the nicotine too quickly reduces the amount absorbed. These factors appear relevant to the observation that less than 10 percent of all subjects entering smoking treatment trials continue to use nicotine polacrilex gum after 1 year (Tonnesen et al. 1988; Jarvis et al. 1982). Among people who have used the polacrilex gum to quit smoking and who have maintained their tobacco abstinence for 1 year or more, a higher percentage of polacrilex gum use has been reported (13 to 38 percent); however, it is not clear to what degree such use may be necessary for some people to avoid relapse to tobacco use (see further discussion of these issues in Hughes 1988; Jasinski and Henningfield 1988; Hall et al. 1985; Tonnesen et al. 1988; Chapter VII). In contrast to nicotine polacrilex gum, smokeless tobacco products (particularly one in which finely ground snuff is placed in a small tea bag-like pouch) readily lend themselves to initiating as well as to maintaining nicotine dependence (US DHHS 1986b).

Table 6 compares nicotine polacrilex gum and cigarettes on a number of dimensions, most of which have been reviewed in either Chapters II, V, or VII. As shown in the Table, there is considerable disparity between these two delivery systems: the polacrilex gum provides a generally safe and medically beneficial form of nicotine delivery; cigarettes are a known cause of substantial amounts of death and disease each year (Chapter I; US DHEW 1979; US DHHS 1981, 1982, 1983, 1984, 1985). Such a disparity in potential safety

TABLE 6.—Comparison of tobacco cigarettes and nicotine polacrilex gum on indices related to safety, including potential to cause dependence

Characteristic	Tobacco cigarettes	Nicotine polacrilex gum
Proven carcinogen	Yes	No
Availability	Widely available consumer product, including vending machine availability	Prescription only
Taste	Carefully formulated with flavor enhancers	Not formulated to provide desirable taste
Ease of nicotine extraction	Readily available with little effort	Much effort required
Nicotine kinetics	Rapid uptake	Slow uptake
Initiation of dependence	Highly effective	No reported problem
Psychoactivity	Dose-related "liking"	Dose-related "disliking"
Reinforcing effects	Powerful	Weak
Withdrawal symptoms associated with abstinence	Yes	Yes
Social factors	Often used in social settings as part of social interactions	Used for specific therapeutic benefit
Primary regulatory oversight	U.S. Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms	U.S. Food and Drug Administration

across systems would suggest that any new system be submitted to evaluations of safety including dependence-potential testing.

Conclusions

1. Cigarettes and other forms of tobacco are addicting. Patterns of tobacco use are regular and compulsive, and a withdrawal syndrome usually accompanies tobacco abstinence.
2. Nicotine is the drug in tobacco that causes addiction. Specifically, nicotine is psychoactive ("mood altering") and can provide pleasurable effects. Nicotine can serve as a reinforcer to motivate tobacco-seeking and tobacco-using behavior. Tolerance develops to actions of nicotine such that repeated use results in diminished effects and can be accompanied by increased intake. Nicotine also causes physical dependence characterized by a withdrawal syndrome that usually accompanies nicotine abstinence.

3. The physical characteristics of nicotine delivery systems can affect their toxicity and addictiveness. Therefore, new nicotine delivery systems should be evaluated for their toxic and addictive effects.

References

- ABRAMS, D.B., FOLLIICK, M.J., BIENER, L., CAREY, K.B., HITTI, J. Saliva cotinine as a measure of smoking status in field settings. *American Journal of Public Health* 77(7):846-848, July 1987.
- ADAMS, L., LEE, C., RAWBONE, R., GUZ, A. Patterns of smoking: Measurement and variability in asymptomatic smokers. *Clinical Science* 65(4):383-392, October 1983.
- ADAMS, P.I. The influence of cigarette smoke yields on smoking habits. In: Thornton, R.E. (ed.) *Smoking Behaviour. Physiological and Psychological Influences*. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone, 1978, pp. 349-600.
- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS. Methods for stopping cigarette smoking. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 105(2):281-291, August 1986.
- AMERICAN PSYCHIATRIC ASSOCIATION. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Third Edition (DSM-III)*. Washington, D.C.: American Psychiatric Association, 1980, pp. 159-160, 176-178.
- AMERICAN PSYCHIATRIC ASSOCIATION. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (revised)*, Third Edition Washington, D.C.: American Psychiatric Association, 1987.
- ANDERSSON, K. Effects of cigarette smoking on learning and retention. *Psychopharmacologia* 41:1-5, 1975.
- ANDERSSON, K., HOCKEY, G.R.J. Effects of cigarette smoking on incidental memory. *Psychopharmacologia* 52(3):223-226, 1977.
- ANDERSSON, K., POST, B. Effects of cigarette smoking on verbal rote learning and physiological arousal. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology* 15:263-267, 1974.
- ASHTON, H., STEPNEY, R., THOMPSON, J.W. Smoking behaviour and nicotine intake in smokers presented with a 'two-thirds' cigarette. In: Thornton, R.E. (ed.) *Smoking Behaviour. Physiological and Psychological Influences*. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone, 1978.
- ASHTON, H., STEPNEY, R., THOMPSON, J.W. Self-titration by cigarette smokers. *British Medical Journal* 2(6186):357-360, August 11, 1979.
- ASHTON, H., WATSON, D.W. Puffing frequency and nicotine intake in cigarette smokers. *British Medical Journal* 3(5724):679-681, September 19, 1970.
- ATOR, N.A., GRIFFITHS, R.R. Nicotine self-administration in baboons. *Pharmacology Biochemistry and Behavior* 19(6):993-1003, 1983.
- AUSTIN, G.A. *Perspectives on the History of Psychoactive Substance Use*, NIDA Research Monograph 24. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration, National Institute on Drug Abuse. DHEW Publication No. (ADM) 79-810, 1979.
- BARRETT, J.E., WITKIN, J.M. The role of behavioral and pharmacological history in determining the effects of abused drugs. In: Goldberg, S.R., Stolerman, I.P. (eds.) *Behavioral Analysis of Drug Dependence*. Orlando: Academic Press, 1986, pp. 195-223.
- BATES, R.L. The effects of cigar and cigarette smoking on certain psychological and physiological functions: I. Dart throwing. *Journal of Comparative Psychology* 2:371-423, 1922.
- BÄTTIG, K., BUZZI, R., NIL, R. Smoke yield of cigarettes and puffing behavior in men and women. *Psychopharmacology* 76:139-148, 1982.
- BENOWITZ, N.L. The use of biological fluid samples in assessing tobacco smoke consumption. In: Grabowski, J., Bell, C.S. (eds.) *Measurement in the Analysis and Treatment of Smoking Behavior*, NIDA Research Monograph 48. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration, National Institute on Drug Abuse. 1983, pp. 6-26.
- BENOWITZ, N.L. Clinical pharmacology of nicotine gum. In: Ockene, J.K. (ed.) *The Pharmacologic Treatment of Tobacco Dependence: Proceedings of the World Congress*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Institute for the Study of Smoking Behavior and Policy, 1986, pp. 108-119.

- BENOWITZ, N.L., HALL, S.M., HERNING, R.I., JACOB, P. III, JONES, R.T., OSMAN, A.-L. Smokers of low-yield cigarettes do not consume less nicotine. *New England Journal of Medicine* 309(3):139-142, July 21, 1983.
- BENOWITZ, N.L., JACOB, P. III. Nicotine and carbon monoxide intake from high- and low-yield cigarettes. *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics* 36(2):265-270, August 1984.
- BENOWITZ, N.L., JACOB, P. III. Nicotine renal excretion rate influences cigarette intake during cigarette smoking. *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics* 234(1):153-155, 1985.
- BENOWITZ, N.L., KUYT, F., JACOB, P. III. Circadian blood nicotine concentrations during cigarette smoking. *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics* 32(6):758-764, December 1982.
- BENOWITZ, N.L., KUYT, F., JACOB, P. Influence of nicotine on cardiovascular and hormonal effects of cigarette smoking. *Clinical Pharmacology Therapeutics* 36(1):74-81, 1984.
- BENOWITZ, N.L., JACOB, P. III, KOZLOWSKI, L.T., YU, L. Influence of smoking fewer cigarettes on exposure to tar, nicotine, and carbon monoxide. *New England Journal of Medicine* 315(21):1310-1313, November 20, 1986.
- BIGELOW, G.E., STITZER, M.L., GRIFFITHS, R.R., LIEBSON, I.A. Human methadone detoxification: Opioid self-administration behavior, cigarette smoking, and withdrawal signs and symptoms as a function of progressive dose reductions. (Abstract.) *Federation Proceedings* 40:296, 1981.
- BLITZER, P.H., RIMM, A.A., GIEFER, E.E. The effect of cessation on body weight in 57,032 women: Cross-sectional and longitudinal analyses. *Journal of Chronic Diseases* 30(7):415-429, July 1977.
- BOSSE, R., GARVEY, A.J., COSTA, P.T. Jr. Predictors of weight-change following smoking cessation. *International Journal of the Addictions* 15(7):969-991, 1980.
- BOSY, L. Physician touts tobacco-free cigaret. *American Medical News* July 11, 1986.
- BOZARTH, M.A. Opiate reward mechanisms mapped by intracranial self-administration. In: Smith, J.E., Lane, J.D. (eds.) *The Neurobiology of Opiate Reward Processes*. New York: Elsevier Biomedical Press, 1983, pp. 331-359.
- BRANTMARK, B., OHLIN, P., WESTLING, H. Nicotine-containing chewing gum as an anti-smoking aid. *Psychopharmacologia* 31(3):191-200, 1973.
- BRIDGES, R.B., HUMBLE, J.W., TURBEK, J.A., REHM, S.R. Smoking history, cigarette yield and smoking behavior as determinants of smoke exposure. *European Journal of Respiratory Diseases* 69(Supplement 146):129-137, 1986.
- BURLING, T.A., SINGLETON, E.G., BIGELOW, G.E., BAILE, W.F., GOTTLIEB, S.H. Smoking following myocardial infarction: A critical review of the literature. *Health Psychology* 3(1):83-96, 1984.
- BURLING, T.A., STITZER, M.L., BIGELOW, G.E., MEAD, A.M. Smoking topography and carbon monoxide levels in smokers. *Addictive Behaviors* 10:319-323, 1985.
- BURNS, B.H. Chronic chest disease, personality and success in stopping cigarette smoking. *British Journal of Preventive and Social Medicine* 23:23-37, 1969.
- BURSE, R.L., BYNUM, G.D., PANDOLF, K.B., GOLDMAN, R.E., SIMS, E.A.H., DANFORTH, E.R. Increased appetite and unchanged metabolism upon cessation of smoking with diet held constant. *Physiologist* 18:157, 1975.
- BUZZI, R., NIL, R., BÄTTIG, K. Development of puffing behavior along burning time of a cigarette—No relation to alveolar inhalation or nicotine delivery of the cigarettes? *Psychopharmacology* 86(1/2):102-107, May-June 1985.
- CAIN, W.S. Sensory attributes of cigarette smoking. In: Gori, G.B., Bock, F. (eds.) *Banbury Report 3: A Safe Cigarette?* New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 1980, pp. 239-249.
- CARNEY, R.M., GOLDBERG, A.P. Weight gain after cessation of cigarette smoking. A possible role for adipose-tissue lipoprotein lipase. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 310(10):614-616, March 8, 1984.

- CARVER, D.J. The immediate psychological effects of tobacco smoking. *Comparative Psychology* 2(4):279-301, 1922.
- CHAIT, L.D., GRIFFITHS, R.R. Differential control of puff duration and interpuff interval in cigarette smokers. *Pharmacology Biochemistry and Behavior* 17(1):155-158, July 1982a.
- CHAIT, L.D., GRIFFITHS, R.R. Smoking behavior and tobacco smoke intake: Response of smokers to shortened cigarettes. *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics* 32(1):90-97, July 1982b.
- CHAIT, L.D., GRIFFITHS, R.R. Effects of caffeine on cigarette smoking and subjective response. *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics* 34(5):612-622, November 1983.
- CHAIT, L.D., GRIFFITHS, R.R. Effects of methadone on human cigarette smoking and subjective ratings. *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics* 229(3):636-640, June 1984.
- CHAIT, L.D., RUSS, N.W., GRIFFITHS, R.R. Effects of graded smoke inhalation on subsequent cigarette smoking behavior. *Addictive Behaviors* 10:273-280, 1985.
- CHAMBERLAIN, A.T., HIGENBOTTAM, T.W. Nicotine and cigarette smoking: An alternative hypothesis. *Medical Hypotheses* 17(4):285-297, August 1985.
- CHAN, T.L., SCHRECK, R.M. Effect of the laryngeal jet on particle deposition in the human trachea and upper bronchial airways. *Journal of Aerosol Science* 11(5/6):447-459, 1980.
- CHEREK, D.R., MAURONER, R.F., BRAUCHI, J.T. Effects of increasing urinary pH on cigarette smoking. *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics* 32(2):253-260, 1982.
- CHILDRESS, A.R., McLELLAN, A.T., EHRLMAN, R., O'BRIEN, C.P. Classical conditioned responses in opioid and cocaine dependency; a role in relapse? In: Ray, B. (ed.) *Learning Factors in Substance Use*, NIDA Research Monograph. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration, National Institute on Drug Abuse, in press.
- CLARK, M.S.G. Self-administered nicotine solutions preferred to placebo by the rat. *British Pharmacological Society* :367, January 2-3, 1969.
- CLARKE, P.B.S., FIBIGER, H.C. Apparent absence of nicotine-induced conditioned place preference in rats. *Psychopharmacology* 92(1):84-88, May 1987.
- CLEGHORN, J. "Smokeless cigarette" burns RJR. *Charlotte Observer*, November 14, 1987, p. 22.
- CLOUET, D.H., IWATSUBO, K. Mechanisms of tolerance to and dependence on narcotic analgesia drugs. In: Elliot, H.W., George, R., Okun, R. (eds.) *Annual Review of Pharmacology*, Volume 15. Palo Alto, California: Annual Reviews, Inc., 1975, pp. 49-71.
- COCHIN, J. Possible mechanisms in development of tolerance. *Federation Proceedings* 29(1):19-27, January-February 1970.
- COLPAERT, F.C. Discriminative stimulus properties of benzodiazepines and barbiturates. In: Lal, H. (ed.) *Discriminative Stimulus Properties of Drugs*. New York: Plenum Press, 1977, pp. 93-106.
- CORTI, E.C. *A History of Smoking*. Translated by P. England. London: George G. Harrap, 1931.
- COX, B.M., GOLDSTEIN, A., NELSON, W.T. Nicotine self-administration in rats. *British Journal of Pharmacology* 83(1):49-55, September 1984.
- CREIGHTON, D.E., LEWIS, P.H. The effect of different cigarettes on human smoking patterns. In: Thornton, R.E. (ed.) *Smoking Behaviour. Physiological and Psychological Influences*. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone, 1978a, pp. 289-300.
- CREIGHTON, D.E., LEWIS, P.H. The effect of smoking pattern on smoke deliveries. In: Thornton, R.E. (ed.) *Smoking Behaviour. Physiological and Psychological Influences*. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone, 1978b, pp. 301-314.

- CREIGHTON, D.E., NOBLE, M.J., WHEWELL, R.T. Instruments to measure, record and duplicate human smoking patterns. In: Thornton, R.E. (ed.) *Smoking Behaviour: Physiological and Psychological Influences*. London: Churchill Livingstone, 1978, pp. 277-288.
- CUMMINGS, K.M., GIOVINO, G., JAEN, C.R., EMRICH, L.J. Reports of smoking withdrawal symptoms over a 21 day period of abstinence. *Addictive Behaviors* 10:373-381, March 1985.
- DE LA GARZA, R., JOHANSON, C.E. The effects of food deprivation on the self-administration of psychoactive drugs. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* 19(1):17-27, 1987.
- DENEAU, G.A., INOKI, R. Nicotine self-administration in monkeys. *Annals of the New York Academy of Science* 142:(article 1):277-279, 1967.
- DIXON, W.E., LEE, W.E. Tolerance to nicotine. *Journal of Experimental Physiology* 5:373-383, 1912.
- DORSEY, J.L. Control of the tobacco habit. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 10(4):628-631, 1936.
- DOUGHERTY, J., MILLER, D., TODD, G., KOSTENBAUDER, H.B. Reinforcing and other behavioral effects of nicotine. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews* 5(4):487-495, Winter 1981.
- EDDY, N.B. *The National Research Council Involvement in the Opiate Problem: 1928-1971*. Washington, D.C.: National Academy of Sciences, 1973.
- EDWARDS, J.A., WESNES, K., Warburton, D.M., GALE, A. Evidence of more rapid stimulus evaluation following cigarette smoking. *Addictive Behaviors* 10(2):113-126, 1985.
- ELGEROT, A. Psychological and physiological changes during tobacco-abstinence in habitual smokers. *Journal of Clinical Psychology* 34(3):759-764, July 1978.
- EPSTEIN, L.H., DICKSON, B.E., OSSIP, D.J., STILLER, R., RUSSELL, P.O., WINTER, K. Relationships among measures of smoking topography. *Addictive Behaviors* 7:307-310, 1982.
- EPSTEIN, L.H., OSSIP, D.J., COLEMAN, D., HUGHES, J., WIIST, W. Measurement of smoking topography during withdrawal or deprivation. *Behavior Therapy* 12(4):507-519, September 1981.
- ETSCORN, F. Sucrose aversions in mice as a result of injected nicotine or passive tobacco smoke inhalation. *Bulletin of the Psychonomic Society* 15(1):54-56, 1980.
- ETSCORN, F., MOORE, G.A., HAGEN, L.S., CATON, T.M., SANDERS, D.L. Saccharin aversions in hamsters as a result of nicotine injections. *Pharmacology Biochemistry and Behavior* 24:567-570, 1986.
- EVANS, R.I., HENDERSON, A., HILL, P., RAINES, B. Smoking in children and adolescents: Psychosocial determinants and prevention strategies. In: Krasnegor, N.A. (ed.) *The Behavioral Aspects of Smoking*. NIDA Research Monograph 26. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration, National Institute on Drug Abuse. DHEW Publication No. (ADM) 79-882, 1979, pp. 69-96.
- EVANS, R.I., RAINES, B.E. Control and prevention of smoking in adolescents: A psychosocial perspective. In: Coates, T.J., Petersen, A.C., Perry, C. (eds.) *Promoting Adolescent Health*. New York: Academic Press, Inc., 1982, pp. 101-136.
- FAGERSTRÖM, K.-O. Measuring degree of physical dependence to tobacco smoking with reference to individualization of treatment. *Addictive Behaviors* 3(3/4):235-241, 1978.
- FAGERSTRÖM, K.-O. Reducing the weight gain after stopping smoking. *Addictive Behaviors* 12:91-93, 1987.
- FAGERSTRÖM, K.-O., BATES, S. Compensation and effective smoking by different nicotine dependent smokers. *Addictive Behaviors* 6(4):331-336, 1981.
- FINNEGAN, J.K., LARSON, P.S., HAAG, H.B. The role of nicotine in the cigarette habit. *Science* 102:94-96, 1945.

- FIGORE, M., NOVOTNY, T., LYNN, W., MAKLAN, D., DAVIS, R. Smoking cessation: Data from the 1986 Adult Use of Tobacco Survey. Proceedings of the 6th World Conference on Smoking and Health, November 9-12, 1987. In press.
- FISCHMAN, M.W., SCHUSTER, C.R. Cocaine self-administration in humans. *Federation Proceedings* 41(2):241-246, February 1982.
- FISCHMAN, M.W., SCHUSTER, C.R., RESNEKOV, L., SHICK, J.F.E., KRASNEGOR, N.A., FENNELL, W., FREEDMAN, D.X. Cardiovascular and subjective effects of intravenous cocaine administration in humans. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 33(8):983-989, August 1976.
- FIX, A.J., DAUGHTON, D.M. Smoking cessation and acid-base balance. *International Journal of Biosocial Research* 2:9-11, 1981.
- FIX, A.J., DAUGHTON, D., KASS, I., SMITH, J.L., WICKISER, A., GOLDEN, C.J. Urinary alkalization and smoking cessation. *Clinical Psychology* 39(4):617-623, 1983.
- FRANKENHAEUSER, M., MYRSTEN, A.L., POST, B., JOHANSSON, G. Behavioural and physiological effects of cigarette smoking in a monotonous situation. *Psychopharmacologia* 22(1):1-7, October 20, 1971.
- FRITH, C.D. The effect of varying the nicotine content of cigarettes on human smoking behavior. *Psychopharmacologia* 19(2):188-192, January 20, 1971.
- FUDALA, P.J., IWAMOTO, E.T. Further studies on nicotine-induced conditioned place preference in the rat. *Pharmacology Biochemistry and Behavior* 25:1041-1049, November 1986.
- FUDALA, P.J., IWAMOTO, E.T. Conditioned aversion after delay place conditioning with nicotine. *Psychopharmacology* 92:376-381, 1987.
- FUDALA, P.J., TEOH, K.W., IWAMOTO, E.T. Pharmacologic characterization of nicotine-induced conditioned place preference. *Pharmacology Biochemistry and Behavior* 22:237-241, 1985.
- GALLUP, G. Jr. Majority backs ban on smoking in public places. *The Gallup Poll*, April 3, 1987, pp. 1-2.
- GILBERT, R.M., POPE, M.A. Early effects of quitting smoking. *Psychopharmacology* 78(2):121-127, October 1982.
- GILLMAN, A.G., GOODMAN, L.S., RALL, T.W., MURAD, F. (eds.) *Goodman and Gilman's The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics*. New York: MacMillan Publishing Company, 1985.
- GLAUSER, S.C., GLAUSER, E.M., REIDENBERG, M.M., RUSY, B.F., TALLARIDA, R.J. Metabolic changes associated with the cessation of cigarette smoking. *Archives of Environmental Health* 20:377-381, 1970.
- GOLDBERG, S.R., HENNINGFIELD, J.E. Fixed-ratio responding maintained by intravenous nicotine injections in humans and squirrel monkeys. *Pharmacologist* 25:219, 1983a.
- GOLDBERG, S.R., HENNINGFIELD, J.E. Intravenous nicotine self-administration in humans and squirrel monkeys. *Neuroscience Letters* 14(Supplement): S140, 1983b.
- GOLDBERG, S.R., HENNINGFIELD, J.E. *Nicotine as a Reinforcer in Humans and Experimental Animals*. Paper presented at symposium on Progress in Understanding the Relationship Between the Pharmacological Effects of Nicotine and Human Tobacco Dependence, held at annual meeting of American Society for Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics, Baltimore, Maryland, August 1986.
- GOLDBERG, S.R., HENNINGFIELD, J.E. Reinforcing effects of nicotine in humans and experimental animals responding under intermittent schedules of IV drug injection. *Pharmacology Biochemistry and Behavior* 30:227-234, 1988.
- GOLDBERG, S.R., KELLEHER, R.T., MORSE, W.H. Second-order schedules of drug injection. *Federation Proceedings* 34(9):1771-1776, 1975.
- GOLDBERG, S.R., SPEALMAN, R.D. Maintenance and suppression of behavior by intravenous nicotine injections in squirrel monkeys. *Federation Proceedings* 41(2):216-220, February 1982.

- GOLDBERG, S.R., SPEALMAN, R.D. Suppression of behavior by intravenous injections of nicotine or by electric shocks in squirrel monkeys: Effects of chlordiazepoxide and mecamylamine. *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics* 224(2):334-340, February 1983.
- GOLDBERG, S.R., SPEALMAN, R.D., GOLDBERG, D.M. Persistent behavior at high rates maintained by intravenous self-administration of nicotine. *Science* 214(4520):573-575, October 30, 1981.
- GOLDBERG, S.R., SPEALMAN, R.D., RISNER, M.E., HENNINGFIELD, J.E. Control of behavior by intravenous nicotine injections in laboratory animals. *Pharmacology Biochemistry and Behavior* 19(6):1011-1020, December 1983.
- GOLDFARB, T.L., GRITZ, E.R., JARVIK, M.E., STOLERMAN, I.P. Reactions to cigarettes as a function of nicotine and "tar". *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics* 19(6):767-772, June 1976.
- GOLDFARB, T.L., JARVIK, M.E. Accommodation to restricted tobacco smoke intake in cigarette smokers. *International Journal of the Addictions* 7(3):559-565, 1972.
- GOLDFARB, T.L., JARVIK, M.E., GLICK, S.D. Cigarette nicotine content as a determinant of human smoking behavior. *Psychopharmacologia* 17(1):89-93, 1970.
- GORI, G.B. Observed no-effect thresholds and the definition of less hazardous cigarettes. *Journal of Environmental Pathology and Toxicology* 3:193-203, 1980.
- GORI, G.B., LYNCH, C.J. Analytical cigarette yields as predictors of smoke bioavailability. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology* 5(3):314-326, September 1985.
- GRABOWSKI, J., BELL, C.S. (eds.) *Measurement in the Analysis and Treatment of Smoking Behavior*. NIDA Research Monograph 48. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration, National Institute on Drug Abuse. DHHS Publication No. (ADM) 83-1285, 1983.
- GRABOWSKI, J., HALL, S.M. (eds.) *Pharmacological Adjuncts in Smoking Cessation*. NIDA Research Monograph 53. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration, National Institute on Drug Abuse. DHHS Publication No. (ADM) 85-1333, 1985.
- GRAHAM, S., CROUCH, S., LEVIN, M.L., BOCK, F.G. Variations in amounts of tobacco tar retrieved from selected models of smoking behavior simulated by smoking machine. *Cancer Research* 23:1025-1030, August 1963.
- GRIFFITHS, R.R., BIGELOW, G.E., HENNINGFIELD, J.E. Similarities in animal and human drug-taking behavior. In: Mello, N.K. (ed.) *Advances in Substance Abuse*. Volume 1. Greenwich, Connecticut: JAI Press, 1980, pp. 1-90.
- GRIFFITHS, R.R., BIGELOW, G.E., LIEBSON, I. Facilitation of human tobacco self-administration by ethanol: A behavioral analysis. *Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior* 25(3):279-292, May 1976.
- GRIFFITHS, R.R., BRADY, J.V., BRADFORD, L.D. Predicting the abuse liability of drugs with animal drug self-administration procedures: Psychomotor stimulants and hallucinogens. In: Thompson, T., Dews, P.B. (eds.) *Advances in Behavioral Pharmacology*. Volume 2. New York: Academic Press, 1979, pp. 163-208.
- GRIFFITHS, R.R., HENNINGFIELD, J.E. Experimental analysis of human cigarette smoking behavior. *Federation Proceedings* 41(2):234-240, February 1982.
- GRIFFITHS, R.R., HENNINGFIELD, J.E., BIGELOW, G.E. Human cigarette smoking: Manipulation of number of puffs per bout, interbout interval and nicotine dose. *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics* 220(2):256-265, February 1982.
- GRITZ, E.R. Patterns of puffing in cigarette smokers. In: Krasnegor, N.A. (ed.) *Self-Administration of Abused Substances: Methods for Study*, NIDA Research Monograph 20. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration, National Institute on Drug Abuse. DHEW Publication No. (ADM) 78-727, 1978, pp. 221-235.

- GRITZ, E.R. Smoking behavior and tobacco use. In: Mello, N.K. (ed.) *Advances in Substance Abuse*, Volume 1. Greenwich, Connecticut: JAI Press, Inc., 1980, pp. 91-158.
- GRITZ, E.R., BAER-WEISS, V., JARVIK, M.E. Titration of nicotine intake with full-length and half-length cigarettes. *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics* 20(5):552-556, November 1976.
- GRITZ, E.R., JARVIK, M.E. Preliminary study: Forty-eight hours of abstinence from smoking. *Proceedings of the 81st Annual Convention, American Psychological Association* 8:1039-1040, 1973.
- GRITZ, E.R., ROSE, J.E., JARVIK, M.E. Regulation of tobacco smoke intake with paced cigarette presentation. *Pharmacology Biochemistry and Behavior* 18(3):457-462, March 1983.
- GRUNBERG, N.E. The effects of nicotine and cigarette smoking on food consumption and taste preferences. *Addictive Behaviors* 7(4):317-331, 1982.
- GRUNBERG, N.E. Nicotine as a psychoactive drug: Appetite regulation. *Psychopharmacology Bulletin* 22(3):875-881, 1986.
- GRUNBERG, N.E., KOZLOWSKI, L.T. Alkaline therapy as an adjunct to smoking cessation programs. *International Journal of Biosocial Research* 8(1):43-52, 1986.
- GUILLERM, R., RADZISZEWSKI, E. Analysis of smoking pattern including intake of carbon monoxide and influences of changes in cigarette design. In: Thornton, R.E. (ed.) *Smoking Behaviour. Physiological and Psychological Influences*. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone, 1978, pp. 361-370.
- GUST, S.W., PICKENS, R.W. Does cigarette nicotine yield affect puff volume? *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics* 32(4):418-422, October 1982.
- GUST, S.W., PICKENS, R.W., PECHACEK, T.F. Recording puff volume in smoking. *Behavior Research Methods and Instrumentation* 15(3):341-343, June 1983a.
- GUST, S.W., PICKENS, R.W., PECHACEK, T.F. Relation of puff volume to other topographical measures of smoking. *Addictive Behaviors* 8(2):115-119, 1983b.
- HAERTZEN, C.A. Changes in correlation between responses to items of the Addiction Research Center Inventory produced by LSD-25. *Journal of Psycho-pharmacology* 1:27-36, 1966.
- HAERTZEN, C.A. *An Overview of Addiction Research Center Inventory Scales (ARCI): An Appendix and Manual of Scales*. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration, National Institute on Drug Abuse. DHEW Publication No. (ADM) 74-92, 1974.
- HAERTZEN, C.A., HICKEY, J.E. Addiction Research Center Inventory (ARCI): Measurement of euphoria and other drug effects. In: Bozarth, M.A. (ed.) *Methods of Assessing the Reinforcing Properties of Abused Drugs*. New York: Springer Verlag, 1987, pp. 489-524.
- HALL, S.M., GINSBERG, D., JONES, R.T. Smoking cessation and weight gain. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* 54(3):342-346, June 1986.
- HALL, R., RAPPAPORT, M., HOPKINS, H.K., GRIFFIN, R. Tobacco and evoked potential. *Science* 180(4082):212-214, April 13, 1973.
- HANSON, H.M., IVESTER, C.A., MORTON, B.R. Nicotine self-administration in rats. In: Krasnegor, N.A. (ed.) *Cigarette Smoking as a Dependence Process*, NIDA Research Monograph 23. U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Public Health Service, Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration, National Institute on Drug Abuse. DHEW Publication No. (ADM) 74-800, 1979, pp. 70-90.
- HARRIS, C.M., EMMETT-OGLESBY, M.W., ROBINSON, N.G., LAL, H. Withdrawal from chronic nicotine substitutes partially for the interoceptive stimulus produced by pentylenetetrazol (PTZ). *Psychopharmacologia* 90:85-89, 1986.

- HATSUKAMI, D.K., GUST, S.W., KEENAN, R.M. Physiologic and subjective changes from smokeless tobacco withdrawal. *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics* 41(1):103-107, 1987.
- HATSUKAMI, D.K., HUGHES, J.R., PICKENS, R.W. Characteristics of tobacco withdrawal: Physiological and subjective effects. In: Grabowski, J., Hall, S.M. (eds.) *Pharmacological Adjuncts in Smoking Cessation*, NIDA Research Monograph 53. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration, National Institute on Drug Abuse. DHHS Publication No. (ADM) 85-1333, 1985, pp. 56-67.
- HATSUKAMI, D.K., HUGHES, J.R., PICKENS, R.W., SVIKIS, D. Tobacco withdrawal symptoms: An experimental analysis. *Psychopharmacology* 84(2):231-236, October 1984.
- HEATH, G.F., PORTER, J.H., ROSECRANS, J.A. (-)Nicotine Blocks the Effects of Diazepam on Punished Responding in Rats. Eastern Psychological Association Meeting, Philadelphia, 1985.
- HEIMSTRA, N.W., BANCROFT, N.R., DEKOCK, A.R. Effects of smoking upon sustained performance in a simulated driving task. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 142:295-307, 1967.
- HENNINGFIELD, J.E. Behavioral pharmacology of cigarette smoking. In: Thompson, T., Dews, P.B., Barrett, J.E. (eds.) *Advances in Behavioral Pharmacology*, Volume 4. Orlando: Academic Press, 1984, pp. 131-210.
- HENNINGFIELD, J.E. How tobacco produces drug dependence. In: Ockene, J.K. (ed.) *The Pharmacologic Treatment of Tobacco Dependence: Proceedings of the World Congress, November 4-5, 1985*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Institute for the Study of Smoking Behavior and Policy, 1986a, pp. 19-31.
- HENNINGFIELD, J.E. Principle investigator. *Annual Progress Report: Biology of Dependence and Abuse Potential Assessment Laboratory*. In: Annual Report of the Addiction Research Center, Addiction Research Center, National Institute on Drug Abuse, 1986b.
- HENNINGFIELD, J.E. Principle investigator. *Annual Progress Report: Biology of Dependence and Abuse Potential Assessment Laboratory*. In: Annual Report of the Addiction Research Center, Addiction Research Center, National Institute on Drug Abuse, 1987a.
- HENNINGFIELD, J.E. Redefining craving. *NIDA Notes* 2(1):9, 1987b.
- HENNINGFIELD, J.E. Reducing the urge to smoke. *Chest* 92(6):963, 1987c.
- HENNINGFIELD, J.E., BROWN, B.S. Do replacement therapies treat craving? *NIDA Notes* 2(1):8-9, 1987.
- HENNINGFIELD, J.E., CHAIT, L.D., GRIFFITHS, R.R. Cigarette smoking and subjective response in alcoholics: Effects of pentobarbital. *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics* 33(6):806-812, June 1983.
- HENNINGFIELD, J.E., CHAIT, L.D., GRIFFITHS, R.R. Effects of ethanol on cigarette smoking by volunteers without histories of alcoholism. *Psychopharmacology* 82(1/2):1-5, 1984.
- HENNINGFIELD, J.E., GOLDBERG, S.R. Control of behavior by intravenous nicotine injections in human subjects. *Pharmacology Biochemistry and Behavior* 19(6):1021-1026, December 1983a.
- HENNINGFIELD, J.E., GOLDBERG, S.R. Nicotine as a reinforcer in human subjects and laboratory animals. *Pharmacology Biochemistry and Behavior* 19(6):989-992, 1983b.
- HENNINGFIELD, J.E., GRIFFITHS, R.R. A preparation for the experimental and analysis of human cigarette smoking behavior. *Behavior Research Methods Instrumentation* 11(6):538-544, December 1979.
- HENNINGFIELD, J.E., GRIFFITHS, R.R. Effects of ventilated cigarette holders on cigarette smoking by humans. *Psychopharmacology* 68(2):115-119, May 1980.

- HENNINGFIELD, J.E., GRIFFITHS, R.R. Cigarette smoking and subjective response: Effects of d-amphetamine. *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics* 30(4):497-505, October 1981.
- HENNINGFIELD, J.E., MIYASATO, K., JASINSKI, D.R. Cigarette smokers self-administer intravenous nicotine. *Pharmacology Biochemistry and Behavior* 19(5):887-890, November 1983.
- HENNINGFIELD, J.E., MIYASATO, K., JASINSKI, D.R. Abuse liability and pharmacodynamic characteristics of intravenous and inhaled nicotine. *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics* 234(1):1-12, July 1985.
- HENNINGFIELD, J.E., MIYASATO, K., JOHNSON, R.E., JASINSKI, D.R. Rapid physiologic effects of nicotine in humans and selective blockade of behavioral effects by mecamylamine. In: Harris, L.S. (ed.) *Problems of Drug Dependence, 1982*, NIDA Research Monograph 43. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration, National Institute on Drug Abuse. DHHS Publication No. (ADM) 83-1264, 1983, pp. 259-265.
- HENNINGFIELD, J.E., YINGLING, J., GRIFFITHS, R.R., PICKENS, R. An inexpensive portable device for measuring puffing behavior by cigarette smokers. *Pharmacology Biochemistry and Behavior* 12(5):811-813, 1980.
- HERNING, R.I. Principle investigator. *Annual Progress Report: Cognitive Studies and Human Performance Laboratory*, Annual Report of the Addiction Research Center, Fiscal Year 1986. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration, National Institute on Drug Abuse. 1987.
- HERNING, R.I., HUNT, J.S., JONES, R.T. The importance of inhalation volume when measuring smoking behavior. *Behavior Research Methods and Instrumentation* 15(6):561-568, 1983.
- HERNING, R.I., JONES, R.T., BACHMAN, J. EEG changes during tobacco withdrawal. *Psychophysiology* 20(5):507-512, September 1983.
- HERNING, R.I., JONES, R.T., BACHMAN, J., MINES, A.H. Puff volume increases when low-nicotine cigarettes are smoked. *British Medical Journal* 283(6285):187-189, July 18, 1981.
- HERNING, R.I., JONES, R.T., BENOWITZ, N.L., MINES, A.H. How a cigarette is smoked determines blood nicotine levels. *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics* 33(1):84-90, January 1983.
- HERNING, R.I., JONES, R.T., FISCHMAN, P. The titration hypothesis revisited: Nicotine gum reduces smoking intensity. In: Grabowski, J., Hall, S.M. (eds.) *Pharmacological Adjuncts in Smoking Cessation*, NIDA Research Monograph 53. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration, National Institute on Drug Abuse. DHHS Publication No. (ADM) 85-1333, pp. 27-41, 1985.
- HERSKOVIC, J.E., ROSE, J.E., JARVIK, M.E. Cigarette desirability and nicotine preference in smokers. *Pharmacology Biochemistry and Behavior* 24(2):171-175, February 1986.
- HILDING, A.C. On cigarette smoking, bronchial carcinoma and ciliary action. I. Smoking habits and measurement of smoke intake. *New England Journal of Medicine* 254(17):775-781, April 26, 1956.
- HIMMELSBACH, C.K. Clinical studies of drug addiction. Physical dependence, withdrawal and recovery. *Archives of Internal Medicine* 69:766-772, 1942.
- HOFSTETTER, A., SCHUTZ, Y., JÉQUIER, E., WAHREN, J. Increased 24-hour energy expenditure in cigarette smokers. *New England Journal of Medicine* 314(2):79-82, January 9, 1986.
- HOWARD, J.L., CRAFT, R.M. Cue properties of nicotine by oral and transdermal routes. (Abstract.) *Federation Proceedings* 45(4):1132, March 5, 1986.